

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,866	08/18/2003	David A. Smith	E-2291-1	3351
75	90 06/21/2005		EXAM	INER
Frank J. Bonini, Jr., Esquire			KOEHLER, ROBERT R	
Harding, Earley, Follmer & Frailey 86 The Commons at Valley Forge East 1288 Valley Forge Road, P.O. Box 750			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	
Valley Forge, PA 19482-0750			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	م ک						
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1			
Office Action Summary		10/642,866	SMITH ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit	-			
		Robert R. Koehler	1775				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on April	11, 2005 (Amdt., Decl., Oath).					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims	•					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18 and 32-36</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>19-31</u> is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	on Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	-					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
	KRK.						
Attachmen	KRK. 6-13-05						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: Marked-Up (

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner's Amendment

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

By way of this Examiner's Amendment, the following change is made in the specification.

At line 8 on page 1 of the specification, immediately after the year "2003," insert the wording

--, now abandoned--.

This minor change in the specification is made in order to update the status of copending application Serial No. 10/382,040.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1775

Evaluations of the level of ordinary skill in the art requires consideration of such factors as various prior art approaches, types of problems encountered in the art, rapidity with which innovations are made, sophistication of technology involved, educational background of those actively working in the field, commercial success, and failure of others.

The "person having ordinary skill" in this art has the capability of understanding the scientific and engineering principles applicable to the claimed invention. The evidence of record including the references and/or admissions are considered to reasonably reflect this level of skill.

Claims 19 to 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,444,326 B1 (Smith).

Smith teaches a method of modifying the surface properties of a substrate by depositing a coating of hydrogenated amorphous silicon on the surface of the substrate and functionalizing the coated substrate by exposing the substrate to a binding reagent. The hydrogenated amorphous silicon coating is deposited by exposing the substrate to silicon hydride gas under pressure and elevated temperature for an effective length of time. The silicon-coated articles taught by Smith are manufactured by initially cleaning the surface of the article within a steel vessel that is capable of containing a suitable environment for the cleaning operation, flushing the steel vessel with an inert gas and applying a vacuum, exposing the substrate to silicon hydride gas under elevated pressure and temperature for a predetermined period of time, optimization of the silane deposition process for the purpose of minimizing silicon dust and forming a uniform film, and purging the system with an inert gas to remove silane moieties not bound to the substrate surface. Smith also teaches the usage of a binding agent which reacts with and binds to the hydrogenated amorphous silicon surface via silicon hydride moieties. See line 28 in column 3 to line 18 in column 5. The Examiner also notes that lines 4 to 8 in column 4 teach the general mechanism by which a hydrogenated amorphous silicon layer is formed on a

Art Unit: 1775

clean substrate surface. Smith differs from the claims in that Smith does not mention the production of a "silicon" coating (or layer) on a substrate.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have followed the same process steps and process conditions as taught by Smith for the purpose of forming a "silicon" coating or layer on a substrate surface. The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have replaced the "hydrogenated amorphous silicon layer" taught by Smith with the "silicon layer" because the substitution of art recognized equivalents as shown by Smith at lines 4 to 8 in column 4 (i.e., "hydrogenated amorphous silicon" being equivalent to at least the Si—Si moiety on the surface of the substrate and in the bulk) would have been within the level of ordinary skill in the art. A person skilled in the art of silicon-base coatings would have been motivated to rely on the Smith patent because the process steps and process conditions taught by Smith will produce a "silicon layer" on a substrate surface.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1 to 18 and 32 to 36 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art does not teach, suggest, or disclose a method of passivating a surface of a substrate by depositing a silicon layer onto the substrate surface wherein the silicon deposition must proceed by cycling the substrate through the claimed process steps for at least one cycle.

Response to Arguments

The Examiner withdraws all of the double patenting rejections because applicants have stated in the Remarks filed on April 11, 2005 that copending Serial No. 10/382,040 has been abandoned. The Examiner also notes that applicants have not replied to the Office action of October 1, 2004 in Serial No. 10/382,040. The Examiner withdraws the rejection of all claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) because the applicants have submitted a Declaration of the inventor,

Art Unit: 1775

Mr. Gary Barone, which states that the invention disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 6,511,760 B1 was derived from him and is thus not the invention by another.

Conclusion.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Robert Koehler whose telephone number is **(571) 272-1536**. The Examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM. The Examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones, can be reached on **(571) 272-1535**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **703-872-9306**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at **866-217-9197** (toll-free).

ROBERT R. KOEHLER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 1775 June 13, 2005 "Marked-Up Copy of Page 1" E-2291-1

METHOD FOR CHEMICAL VAPOR
DEPOSITION OF SILICON ON TO SUBSTRATES FOR
USE IN CORROSIVE AND VACUUM ENVIRONMENTS

5 CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

This application is a continuation-in-part of, and claims priority from, U.S. Application Serial No.

10/382,040, filed on March 5, 2003.

10

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to a method for vapor deposition of silicon on substrates to impart properties for use in corrosive and vacuum environments. More particularly, the present invention relates to an improved method of applying a silicon passivation layer to the surfaces of substrates.

2. Brief Description of the Related Art

The present invention overcomes many known

deficiencies by using silicon as a passivation layer for
a variety of substrates, including those comprised of
metal (ferrous and non-ferrous), glass, carbon, copper,
quartz, nickel-containing ferrous alloys, titanium,

25 aluminum and ceramics. Substrates comprised of these
materials generally have been known to have undesirable
properties, which may, for example include one or more of
the following: chemisorption of other molecules;
reversible and irreversible physisorption of other
molecules; catalytic activity with other molecules;